



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Mechanisms of vascular dysfunction in COPD and effects of a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor in smokers

Citation for published version:

Yang, L, Cheriyan, J, Guterman, DD, Mayer, RJ, Ament, Z, Griffin, JL, Lazaar, AL, Newby, DE, Tal-Singer, R & Wilkinson, IB 2016, 'Mechanisms of vascular dysfunction in COPD and effects of a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor in smokers', *Chest Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2016.10.058>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1016/j.chest.2016.10.058](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2016.10.058)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Chest Journal

Publisher Rights Statement:

Open Access funded by Wellcome Trust
Under a Creative Commons license

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Accepted Manuscript

Mechanisms of vascular dysfunction in COPD and effects of a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor in smokers

Lucy Yang, MBChB, Joseph Cheriyan, FRCP, David D. Guttermann, MD, Ruth J. Mayer, PhD, Zsuzsanna Ament, PhD, Jules L. Griffin, PhD, Aili L. Lazaar, MD, David E. Newby, FRCP, Ruth Tal-Singer, PhD, Ian B. Wilkinson, FRCP

PII: S0012-3692(16)62392-9

DOI: [10.1016/j.chest.2016.10.058](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2016.10.058)

Reference: CHEST 817

To appear in: *CHEST*


Received Date: 21 July 2016

Revised Date: 4 October 2016

Accepted Date: 28 October 2016

Please cite this article as: Yang L, Cheriyan J, Guttermann DD, Mayer RJ, Ament Z, Griffin JL, Lazaar AL, Newby DE, Tal-Singer R, Wilkinson IB, Mechanisms of vascular dysfunction in COPD and effects of a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor in smokers, *CHEST* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2016.10.058.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



Word count: Text 2430 words, Abstract 246 words.

Full Title: Mechanisms of vascular dysfunction in COPD and effects of a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor in smokers

Running head: Vascular dysfunction in COPD and sEH inhibition in smokers

Lucy Yang MBChB^{*1}, Joseph Cheriyan FRCP^{*1,2,3}, David D. Gutterman MD⁴, Ruth J. Mayer PhD⁵, Zsuzsanna Ament PhD⁶, Jules L. Griffin PhD⁶, Aili L. Lazaar MD⁵, David E. Newby FRCP⁷, Ruth Tal-Singer PhD⁵, Ian B. Wilkinson FRCP^{1,2}

1. Experimental Medicine & Immunotherapeutics (EMIT), University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, UK.
2. Cambridge Clinical Trials Unit, Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, UK.
3. Clinical Unit Cambridge, GSK R&D, Cambridge CB2 0GG, UK.
4. Department of Medicine, Cardiovascular Center, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI, USA.
5. GSK R&D, King of Prussia, PA, USA.
6. MCR Human Nutrition Research, Elsie Widdowson Laboratory, 120 Fulbourn Road, Cambridge CB1 9NL & Department of Biochemistry, Tennis Court Road, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 1GA.
7. British Heart Foundation Centre for Cardiovascular Science, University of Edinburgh, EH16 4SB, UK.

* joint first authors.

Correspondence:

Dr. Joseph Cheriyan, EMIT, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, UK. Email: jc403@medschl.cam.ac.uk

Summary of conflict of interest statements:

JC is employed by Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust and spends 50% of his time on GSK clinical trial research, but receives no GSK benefits. DEN has received consultancy fees from GSK. IBW has received academic grants from GSK. RM, AL, and RTS are GSK employees and shareholders.

Funding information:

This work was supported by GSK [SEH114068] and Innovate UK (ERICA Consortium 10037625), the Wellcome Trust grant numbers 100780/Z/12/Z, and WT103782AIA awarded to LY, and DEN respectively; the Raymond and Beverley Sackler fellowship awarded to LY; National Institute for Health Research funding awarded to IBW, and JC in the Cambridge Comprehensive Biomedical Research, and the British Heart Foundation grant numbers CH/09/002, and RG66885 RCZA/008 awarded to DEN, and IBW. JLG and ZA are funded by the Medical Research Council (Medical Research Council Lipid Profiling and Signalling, MC UP A90 1006 & Lipid Dynamics and Regulation, MC PC 13030).

Notation of prior abstract publication / presentation:

Safety and pharmacology of a soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor *European Respiratory Journal* 2015;46:suppl 59. Presented at European Respiratory Society meeting, Amsterdam, September 2015

The role of epoxyeicosatrienoic acids in regulating endothelial function and the effects of a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor in humans. Presented at the British Pharmacological Society winter pharmacology meeting, London, December 2015, and winner of the Pfizer poster prize.

The role of epoxyeicosatrienoic acids in regulating endothelial function and the effects of a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor GSK2256294 in humans. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;67(13_S):2308-2308. Presented at American College of Cardiology annual meeting, Chicago, April 2016

Abstract

Background: Smoking and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) are risk factors for cardiovascular disease, and the pathogenesis may involve endothelial dysfunction. We tested the hypothesis that endothelium-derived epoxyeicosatrienoic acid (EET)-mediated endothelial function is impaired in patients with COPD, and a novel sEH inhibitor GSK2256294 attenuates EET-mediated endothelial dysfunction in human resistance vessels both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

Methods: Endogenous and stimulated endothelial release of EETs was assessed in 12 COPD patients, 11 overweight smokers, and 2 matched control groups, using forearm plethysmography with intra-arterial infusions of fluconazole, bradykinin, and the combination. The effects of GSK2256294 on EET-mediated vasodilatation in human resistance arteries were assessed *in vitro* and *in vivo* in a Phase 1 clinical trial in healthy overweight smokers.

Results: Compared to controls, there was reduced vasodilatation to bradykinin ($p=0.005$), blunted effect of fluconazole on bradykinin-induced vasodilatation ($p=0.03$), and a trend towards reduced basal EET/DHETs ratio in COPD patients ($p=0.08$). A similar pattern was observed in overweight smokers. *In vitro*, 10 μ M GSK2256294 increased 11,12-EET-mediated vasodilatation compared to vehicle ($90\pm4.2\%$ vs. $72.6\pm6.2\%$ maximal dilatation), and shifted the bradykinin EC₅₀ (-8.33 ± 0.172 vs. -8.10 ± 0.118 logM; $p=0.001$ for EC₅₀). *In vivo*, 18 mg GSK2256294 improved the maximum bradykinin response from $338\pm46\%$ pre-dose to $566\pm110\%$ post single dose ($p=0.02$), and to $503\pm123\%$ post chronic dose ($p=0.003$).

Conclusion: GSK2256294 attenuates smoking related EET-mediated endothelial dysfunction, suggesting potential therapeutic benefits in patients with COPD.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01762774

Key words: soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor, endothelial function, smokers, clinical trial, EETs, COPD

Introduction

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is the third leading cause of death worldwide, and a risk predictor for atherosclerosis.^{1,2,3} Several pathophysiological processes may contribute to disease progression, and increased cardiovascular risk in COPD, including systemic effects of smoking, chronic inflammation,⁴ and endothelial dysfunction.⁵ Patients with COPD are also more likely to suffer from other cardiovascular co-morbidities, including central abdominal obesity, and the metabolic syndrome, particularly in earlier stages of COPD.⁶⁻⁸ Endothelium-derived hyperpolarising factors (EDHF), particularly epoxyeicosatrienoic acids (EETs) are involved in the modulation of vascular tone,⁹ attenuation of inflammation,¹⁰ and activation of fibrinolysis by augmenting tissue plasminogen activator (tPA) expression.¹¹

EETs are synthesised by cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes, and metabolised to their less biologically active diols by soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH) enzymes.¹² Smoking has a synergistic effect with CYP450 and sEH polymorphisms,¹³ resulting in enhanced sEH activity, reduced plasma EETs, and increasing overall risk of myocardial infarction.¹⁴ Plasma EETs levels are reduced in patients with coronary artery disease who are obese, or who smoke.¹⁵ EETs are also produced in lung epithelial cells, and they may become dysfunctional in COPD.¹² *In vivo*, smokers exhibit reduced endothelial responses to bradykinin,⁵ and this may be associated with impaired EDHF-mediated vasodilatation.^{16,17} However, the functional role of EETs has not yet been characterised in humans.

Up-regulation of EETs by sEH inhibition in animals improves the metabolic syndrome,¹⁸ lung function, and attenuates smoking-related inflammation and emphysema.¹⁹ GSK2256294 is a novel potent sEH inhibitor in Phase 1 clinical development, and may have the potential to impact upon systemic and pulmonary endothelial function. As this was a Phase 1 clinical trial, mainly focussed on safety, and tolerability in the healthy, we used a cohort of overweight smokers as a representative for patients with early stage COPD.

We hypothesised that EETs synthesis is reduced in patients with COPD and otherwise healthy overweight smokers, and that sEH inhibition would up-regulate EETs and endothelial dysfunction. We completed a physiological study, in which we assessed EETs-mediated basal tone, and the EETs component of bradykinin stimulated vasodilatation in patients with COPD, and in overweight smokers, in order to maximise the impact of cardiovascular risk factors in otherwise healthy subjects. Subsequently, we examined the effects of a novel sEH inhibitor GSK2256294 in human resistance arteries *in vitro*, and *in vivo* in a Phase 1 clinical trial with an

experimental medicine arm to provide early proof-of-mechanism for target engagement in overweight smokers. The study design, safety and pharmacokinetic data from the Phase 1 trial were reported separately,²⁰ and we only report the effects of sEH inhibition on endothelial function in this manuscript.

Materials and Methods

All study procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, approved by appropriate institutional review boards, and received favourable opinions from local ethics committees (13/EE/0032, 12/LO/1832), and the Medicines and Healthcare products Regulation Agency. Analysis and Statistical methods are described in the supplementary material. All subjects were recruited following written consent.

We used forearm venous occlusion plethysmography²¹ to assess vascular function *in vivo* with intra-arterial infusion of challenge agents via a 27-gauge needle (Coopers Needleworks, Birmingham, UK) inserted into the brachial artery. Venous plasma concentrations of EET/DHETs were assessed as a representative of sEH activity at baseline, and during the forearm blood flow studies. Oscillometric blood pressures were monitored in the non-infused arm. Detailed methods and statistical analyses are in the supplementary material.

Study 1

Twelve male COPD patients ($FEV1/FVC < 0.7$ and $FEV1 < 80\%$ post-bronchodilator), and 12 healthy gender matched controls (matched controls 1) underwent a single forearm blood flow study to assess EETs mediated vasodilatation (UKCRN Portfolio ID: 14339). Patients taking concomitant medications which interfere with CYP450 or cyclo-oxygenase enzymes were asked to stop for at least 4 days prior to the forearm blood flow. Overall endothelium-dependent function was assessed by infusing bradykinin (100, 300 and 1000 pmol/min, Bachem Distribution Services GmbH, Germany), and stimulated EETs release was assessed by co-infusing bradykinin with 0.4 $\mu\text{mol/min}$ fluconazole; a cytochrome P450 inhibitor which inhibits EETs synthesis (Pfizer Ltd, Kent, UK).⁹ (**Supplementary material figure 1**). Endothelium-independent responses were assessed using 12, and 38 nmol/min (3, and 10 $\mu\text{g/min}$) sodium nitroprusside (SNP) (Nitroprussiat FIDES, Madrid, Spain).

Study 2

Twelve overweight smokers (≥ 10 cigarettes/day, and >5 pack-year history, weight >60 kg and BMI 28-35 kg/m²), and equal numbers of healthy gender and age-matched non-smoker controls (matched controls 2) underwent the same forearm blood flow protocol as subjects in Study 1.

Study 3

We first assessed the effects of sEH inhibition, *in vitro*, by application of GSK2256294 to human resistance arteries treated with L-nitroarginine methyl ester (LNAME), and indomethacin (detailed methods in supplementary material), and *in vivo*, using forearm blood flow pre-dose, after a single dose (acute effects), and after 14 days (chronic effects) of oral GSK2256294. Responses to bradykinin (300, 600 and 1000 pmol/min) were assessed in the presence of 8 μ mol/min N^G-monomethyl-L-arginine (LNMMMA; Bachem) and 6 mmol (1 g) intravenous aspirin (Aspergic Sanofi-Aventis, France) to inhibit NO and PGI₂ syntheses in order to maximise EDHF and EETs (**Supplementary material figure 2**). Venous concentrations of t-PA and plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (PAI-1) were measured before and after each dose of bradykinin.²² Challenge agent doses were chosen based on previous studies.^{5,5}

To assess the effects of GSK2256294 *in vivo*, we studied healthy overweight smokers (no concomitant medications) as a paradigm for a COPD population in a Phase 1 clinical trial to provide early proof of mechanism (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01762774). Thirty male overweight smokers, were allocated in a 2:1 ratio between GSK2256294 (6 mg or 18 mg) and placebo for 14 days repeat dose. GSK2256294 doses were chosen based on enzyme inhibition and pharmacokinetic data from the single dosing cohorts.²⁰

Results

Study 1

Subject demographics are presented in **Table 1**. The average FEV1 was $53 \pm 13\%$ predicted, and FEV1/FVC ratio was 0.5 ± 0.1 in the COPD subjects. There was a trend towards higher plasma concentration of basal EET/DHETs ratio in matched controls 1 compared to COPD patients (0.54 ± 0.12 vs. 0.45 ± 0.14 ; $p=0.08$) (**Figure 1**).

There was a dose-dependent increase in forearm blood flow ratio following bradykinin in both groups ($p < 0.0001$). Bradykinin response was significantly higher in matched controls 1 than patients with COPD (maximal dilatation $1314 \pm 191\%$ vs. $552 \pm 103\%$; $p=0.005$) (**Figure 2A**). In the presence of fluconazole, maximum

dilatation to bradykinin was reduced in matched controls 1 ($406 \pm 64\%$; $p < 0.0001$), but not in patients with COPD ($447 \pm 124\%$; $p = 0.32$), showing a significant between group difference in inhibition ($p = 0.03$). There was no difference in SNP response between groups (data not shown). Blood pressure remained constant throughout the studies.

Although not significant, plasma concentrations of EET/DHETs ratio in response to bradykinin was higher in matched controls 1 compared to patients with COPD (maximum 8.6 ± 3.4 vs. 6.8 ± 1.1 ; $p = 0.83$). In the presence of fluconazole, although not significant, total EET/DHETs were slightly less in matched controls 1 (maximum 4.7 ± 0.4 ; $p = 0.27$), but not in patients with COPD (5.2 ± 0.9 ; $p = 0.70$) (**Figure 3A**).

Study 2

Although not significant, basal EET/DHETs ratio was higher in matched controls 2 compared to overweight smokers (0.46 ± 0.06 vs. 0.39 ± 0.04 ; $p = 0.33$) (**Figure 1**).

Bradykinin response was higher in matched controls 2 than overweight smokers (maximal dilatation: $930 \pm 81\%$ vs. $575 \pm 112\%$; $p = 0.02$) (**Figure 2B**). In the presence of fluconazole, maximum dilatation to bradykinin was reduced in matched controls 2 ($400 \pm 49\%$; $p < 0.0001$), but not in overweight smokers ($437 \pm 57\%$; $p = 0.16$), resulting in a significant between group difference ($p = 0.002$). There was no difference in SNP response between groups (data not shown). Blood pressure remained constant throughout the studies. There was no difference in the bradykinin response between COPD and overweight smokers ($p = 0.72$).

Although not significant, the increase in EET/DHETs ratio in response to bradykinin was higher in healthy matched controls 2 compared with overweight smokers (maximum 10.31 ± 4.43 vs. 5.66 ± 0.46 ; $p = 0.80$). In the presence of fluconazole, EET/DHETs were reduced in matched controls 2 but slightly increased in overweight smokers (maximum 5.02 ± 0.38 vs. 8.19 ± 2.18 ; $p = 0.003$) (**Figure 3B**).

Study 3

In LNAME and indomethacin treated resistance vessels, GSK2256294 $10 \mu\text{M}$ increased 11,12-EET-mediated vasodilatation compared to vehicle ($n = 6$ in each group, $90 \pm 4\%$ vs. $73 \pm 6\%$ maximal dilatation; **Figure 4A**), and shifted the bradykinin EC_{50} ($n = 6$, -8.33 ± 0.17 vs. $-8.10 \pm 0.12 \log\text{M}$; $p = 0.001$; **Figure 4B**). However, vasodilatation to 8,9-EET was unaltered ($82 \pm 16\%$ vs. $72 \pm 19\%$, maximal dilatation), suggesting that the effects were regio-isomer specific. The vasodilatation to

papaverine (100 μ M), a test of direct smooth muscle vasodilatation, was unchanged in GSK2256294.

In vivo, 28 subjects, including the 11 who took part in the physiological study, completed forearm blood flow studies at pre-dosing, after a single dose, and after 14 days repeat dosing with placebo (n=6) or GSK2256294 6 mg or 18 mg (n=11 in each group) (**Table 1**). There was a trend to increased bradykinin response after single and repeat dosing in the active treatment groups. In subjects who received 6 mg, response to bradykinin increased by $23\pm 17\%$ on day 1 and by $22\pm 22\%$ on day 14. In those who received 18 mg, bradykinin response increased by $14\pm 17\%$ on day 1, and $12\pm 14\%$ on day 14. Responses to SNP did not change.

In a *post-hoc* analysis of forearm blood flow ratio, there was an improvement in bradykinin-induced responses following dosing with the active drug compared to placebo ($p=0.007$), with the greatest effect in the active drug 18 mg group. In the 18 mg active drug group, the maximum bradykinin response improved from $338\pm 46\%$ pre-dose to $566\pm 110\%$ post single dose ($p=0.02$), and to $503\pm 123\%$ post chronic dose ($p=0.003$). (**Figure 5**).

LNMA and aspirin inhibited basal flow equally on all three days in the three treatment arms (**Supplementary material Table 1**). Blood pressure remained stable, and there were no changes to t-PA in response to BK, or in PAI-1 release (data not shown).

Discussion

The findings from these studies suggest that COPD and smoking are associated with impaired overall endothelial function, and reduced stimulated vascular EETs production. Proof-of-mechanism data demonstrates that sEH inhibition with GSK2256294 results in improvements in vascular function both *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

We elected to study patients with COPD and overweight smokers as the mechanisms behind COPD, smoking and cardiovascular disease remains poorly understood. Both smokers and patients with COPD exhibit low-grade systemic inflammation,¹ which plays a key role in endothelial activation, resulting in endothelial dysfunction, and the initiation of atherosclerosis.²³ It has been demonstrated that patients with COPD,⁵ smokers,²⁴ and ex-smokers²⁵ exhibit a similar degree of endothelial dysfunction, suggesting that smoking may be the key contributing factor. Cardiovascular risk factors are more likely to cluster in obesity, manifesting as a syndrome of increased adipocytes, hyperglycaemia, and dyslipidaemia, with

underlying low-grade inflammation. In normotensive overweight subjects with the metabolic syndrome, acetylcholine-, rather than bradykinin-induced vasodilatation is reduced, possibly suggesting a lesser degree of endothelial dysfunction.⁹ However, the extent to which EETs contributed to this endothelial dysfunction remained unclear, and our study was the first to interrogate this further, and forearm blood flow data suggest that EETs production is similarly impaired in patients with COPD and overweight smokers, supported by plasma quantification of EETs/DHETs as a representative of sEH activity.

We observed a trend towards reduced baseline EET/DHETs in patients with COPD and overweight smokers, and when comparing the two matched control groups, baseline EET/DHETs ratio are slightly less in the younger matched controls for overweight smokers (matched control 2), than those for COPD (matched control 1). However, human plasma EETs, and DHETs are notoriously difficult to quantify, due to their instability, thus, definitive conclusions cannot be drawn from these insignificant results, but can only be taken in context of our forearm blood flow data, and previous published data. In animals, obesity is associated with reduced hepatic expression of EETs-producing CYP2C enzymes.²⁶ In mesenteric arteries of obese Zucker rats, there is reduced CYP2C and CYP2J enzymes, with enhanced activity of sEH enzymes.²⁷ Increased sEH activity may represent more advanced inflammation, as in coronary artery disease, those who are obese or who smoke exhibit the lowest EET/DHETs ratio.¹⁵ sEH activity is associated with forearm blood flow, as subjects with Lys55Arg polymorphism in the sEH encoding gene (*EPHX2*) exhibit higher sEH activity, and reduced vasodilator responses to bradykinin.²⁸ Smoking can also significantly upregulate *EPHX2*²⁹, and this is associated with increased coronary artery calcification in man.¹³

The reduced EETs synthesis and endothelial dysfunction observed in patients with COPD and overweight smokers, may be a result of chronic low grade inflammation secondary to smoking.³⁰ In animals, dimethylsulfoxide-soluble smoke particles can up-regulate endothelium-derived vasoconstrictors via the nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF κ B);³¹ a pivotal protein controlling the transcription of genes relevant to the pathophysiology of the blood vessel wall, including adhesion molecules and cytokines.³² EETs exert their anti-inflammatory effects by inhibiting the activation of NF κ B.³² Inflammatory states are associated with down-regulation of hepatic and extrahepatic CYP450 enzymes, resulting in a vicious cycle of reduced EETs production and an ineffective EETs-mediated anti-inflammatory effect both locally and systemically.³³

GSK2256294 is a potent sEH inhibitor, which exerts high levels of sEH enzyme inhibition both *in vitro*,¹⁹ and *in vivo*.²⁰ In human left internal mammary arteries, 11,12-EETs are the most potent regio-isomer,³⁴ and we confirmed that both 11,12-EETs and bradykinin mediated vasodilatation were enhanced in the presence of GSK2256294 in human resistance arteries. In animal models of cigarette smoking and obesity, sEH inhibition improves lung,³⁵ and endothelial function,³⁶ and attenuates pulmonary inflammation as reflected by reduced inflammatory cells including neutrophils, and macrophages.¹⁹ In human bronchial cells, treatment with exogenous EETs protects against cigarette smoke extract-induced injury.³⁷ Consistent with *in vitro* results, both acute and chronic sEH inhibition for up to two weeks improve responses to bradykinin.

No changes were observed in t-PA release following sEH inhibition. t-PA is a fibrinolytic serine protease that is released from the endothelium, and regulates degradation of intravascular fibrin. Impaired t-PA release can be associated with coronary atherosclerosis, and cigarette smoking.²⁵ Treatment of human endothelial cells with exogenous EETs, particularly 11,12-EETs, can increase t-PA protein expression in a dose and time-dependent manner, possibly due to activation of a G-protein, whilst not affecting plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1 (PAI-1), the endogenous inhibitor of t-PA.¹¹ t-PA release may also be dependent on the agonist, and in this group of overweight smokers, substance P may elicit a greater response.²⁴

Some limitations of this study warrant consideration. As the main focus of the Phase 1 clinical trial was on safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of GSK2256294 in healthy volunteers, we were not able to test this novel drug in COPD patients. In addition, the lack of non-smoking controls in the Phase 1 clinical trial means that the magnitudes of the effects of both doses of GSK2256294 were relatively small, and similar to the variance in bradykinin responses in the placebo group. Therefore, Phase 2 studies in larger patient groups are required to draw definitive conclusions.

Some evidence also suggests that in NO deficient conditions, EETs may be upregulated.⁹ Thus, by creating an NO deficient milieu during the forearm blood flow study with LNMMA, we may have masked any further up-regulation of EETs by sEH inhibition. Larger clinical trials in patients with COPD, without concomitant inhibition of nitric oxide synthase, would be required to further understand the clinical impact of sEH inhibition. This must also be approached with caution for the potential of EETs to stimulate angiogenesis, and possibly modulate cancer genesis and metastases,³⁸ though, interestingly, dual action cyclo-oxygenase and sEH inhibition may in fact

suppress cancer.³⁹ We found no changes in serum vascular endothelial growth factor the active drug group with this dosing regime after 14 days.²⁰

Conclusions

Patients with COPD and overweight smokers have impaired endothelial function, and dysregulated EETs signalling. sEH inhibition can augment bradykinin-induced vasodilatation in human resistance vessels both *in vitro* and *in vivo*, suggesting that sEH inhibition may be a novel therapeutic target to ameliorate cardiovascular risk in patients with smoking related endothelial dysfunction.

Acknowledgements:

We thank participating subjects and acknowledge all clinical study site personnel who contributed to the clinical trial. IBW, DEN, RTS, RJM, and JC contributed to study design. LY, JC, RJM, ALL, DG, ZA, JLG, IBW contributed to data collection, and interpretation. LY, JC, DG, ZA, JLG, ALL, DEN, RTS, IBW contributed to drafting the manuscript.

References

1. Sin DD, Man SFP. Why are patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease at increased risk of cardiovascular diseases? The potential role of systemic inflammation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Circulation* 2003;107(11):1514–1519.
2. Gershon AS, Warner L, Cascagnette P, et al. Lifetime risk of developing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a longitudinal population study. *Lancet Lond Engl* 2011;378(9795):991–996.
3. World Health Organization. Major causes of death [Internet]. 2014; Available from: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs310/en/index2.html>
4. MacLay JD, MacNee W. Cardiovascular disease in copd: Mechanisms. *Chest* 2013;143(3):798–807.
5. MacLay JD, McAllister DA, Mills NL, et al. Vascular dysfunction in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2009;180(6):513–520.
6. Díez-Manglano J, Barquero-Romero J, Almagro P, et al. COPD patients with and without metabolic syndrome: clinical and functional differences. *Intern Emerg Med* 2013;9(4):419–425.
7. Lipovec NC, Beijers RJHCG, Borst B van den, et al. The Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome In Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Systematic Review. *COPD J Chronic Obstr Pulm Dis* 2016;13(3):399–406.
8. Laratta CR, Eeden S van. Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Cardiovascular Links. *BioMed Res Int* [Internet] 2014 [cited 2016 Aug 11];2014. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3958649/>
9. Ozkor MA, Murrow JR, Rahman AM, et al. Endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor determines resting and stimulated forearm vasodilator tone in health and in disease. *Circulation* 2011;123(20):2244–2253.
10. Node K, Huo Y, Ruan X, et al. Anti-inflammatory Properties of Cytochrome P450 Epoxygenase-Derived Eicosanoids. *Science* 1999;285(5431):1276–1279.
11. Node K, Ruan XL, Dai J, et al. Activation of Galphas mediates induction of tissue-type plasminogen activator gene transcription by epoxyeicosatrienoic acids. *J Biol Chem* 2001;276(19):15983–9.
12. Yang L, Maki-Petaja K, Cheriyan J, et al. The role of epoxyeicosatrienoic acids in the cardiovascular system. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2015;80(1):28–44.
13. Wei Q, Doris PA, Pollizotto MV, et al. Sequence variation in the soluble epoxide hydrolase gene and subclinical coronary atherosclerosis: interaction with cigarette smoking. *Atherosclerosis* 2007;190(1):26–34.
14. Liu PY, Li YH, Chao TH, et al. Synergistic effect of cytochrome P450 epoxigenase CYP2J2*7 polymorphism with smoking on the onset of premature myocardial infarction. *Atherosclerosis* 2007;195(1):199–206.

15. Theken KN, Schuck RN, Edin ML, et al. Evaluation of cytochrome P450-derived eicosanoids in humans with stable atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Atherosclerosis* 2012;222(2):530–536.
16. Miura H, Toyama K, Pratt PF, Gutterman DD. Cigarette smoking impairs Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase activity in the human coronary microcirculation. *Am J Physiol - Heart Circ Physiol* 2011;300(1):H109–H117.
17. Yang Q, Shigemura N, Underwood MJ, et al. NO and EDHF pathways in pulmonary arteries and veins are impaired in COPD patients. *Vascul Pharmacol* 2012;57(2-4):113–118.
18. Iyer A, Kauter K, Alam MA, et al. Pharmacological inhibition of soluble epoxide hydrolase ameliorates diet-induced metabolic syndrome in rats. *Exp Diabetes Res* 2012;2012:758614.
19. Podolin PL, Bolognese BJ, Foley JF, et al. In vitro and in vivo characterization of a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor. *Prostaglandins Other Lipid Mediat* 2013;104-105:25–31.
20. Lazaar AL, Yang L, Boardley RL, et al. Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics and adverse event profile of GSK2256294, a novel soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2016;81(5):971–979.
21. Wilkinson IB, Webb DJ. Venous occlusion plethysmography in cardiovascular research: methodology and clinical applications. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2001;52(6):631–646.
22. Brown NJ, Gainer JV, Stein CM, et al. Bradykinin stimulates tissue plasminogen activator release in human vasculature. *Hypertension* 1999;33(6):1431–1435.
23. Libby P. Inflammation in atherosclerosis. *Nature* 2002;420(6917):868–74.
24. Newby DE, Wright RA, Labinjoh C, et al. Endothelial dysfunction, impaired endogenous fibrinolysis, and cigarette smoking a mechanism for arterial thrombosis and myocardial infarction. *Circulation* 1999;99(11):1411–1415.
25. Newby DE, McLeod AL, Uren NG, et al. Impaired coronary tissue plasminogen activator release is associated with coronary atherosclerosis and cigarette smoking: direct link between endothelial dysfunction and atherothrombosis. *Circulation* 2001;103(15):1936–1941.
26. Lam JL, Jiang Y, Zhang T, et al. Expression and functional analysis of hepatic cytochromes P450, nuclear receptors, and membrane transporters in 10- and 25-week-old dbdb mice. *Drug Metab Dispos* 2010;38(12):2252–8.
27. Zhao X, Dey A, Romanko OP, et al. Decreased epoxygenase and increased epoxide hydrolase expression in the mesenteric artery of obese Zucker rats. *Am J Physiol - Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2005;288(1):R188–R196.
28. Lee CR, North KE, Bray MS, et al. Genetic variation in soluble epoxide hydrolase (EPHX2) and risk of coronary heart disease: The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. *Hum Mol Genet* 2006;15(10):1640–9.


29. Maresh JG, Xu H, Jiang N, et al. Tobacco smoke dysregulates endothelial vasoregulatory transcripts in vivo. *Physiol Genomics* 2005;21(3):308–313.
30. Sotos-Prieto M, Bhupathiraju SN, et al. Association between a Healthy Lifestyle Score and inflammatory markers among Puerto Rican adults. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 2016;26(3):178–184.
31. Huang L-H, Zhang P-A, He J-Y, et al. DMSO-soluble cigarette smoke particles alter the expression of endothelin B receptor in rat coronary artery. *J Vasc Res* 2013;50(3):238–248.
32. Node K, Huo Y, Ruan X, et al. Anti-inflammatory properties of cytochrome P450 epoxygenase-derived eicosanoids. *Science* 1999;285(5431):1276–1279.
33. Shahabi P, Siest G, Visvikis-siest S. Influence of inflammation on cardiovascular protective effects of cytochrome P450 epoxygenase-derived epoxyeicosatrienoic acids. *Drug Metab Rev* 2014;46(1):33–56.
34. Archer SL, Gragasin FS, Wu X, et al. Endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factor in human internal mammary artery is 11,12-epoxyeicosatrienoic acid and causes relaxation by activating smooth muscle BK(Ca) channels. *Circulation* 2003;107(5):769–776.
35. Wang L, Yang J, Guo L, et al. Use of a soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibitor in smoke-induced chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2012;46(5):614–622.
36. Roche C, Besnier M, Cassel R, et al. Soluble epoxide hydrolase inhibition improves coronary endothelial function and prevents the development of cardiac alterations in obese insulin-resistant mice. *Am J Physiol - Heart Circ Physiol* 2015;308(9):H1020–H1029.
37. Yu G, Zeng X, Wang H, et al. 14,15-Epoxyeicosatrienoic Acid Suppresses Cigarette Smoke Extract-Induced Apoptosis in Lung Epithelial Cells by Inhibiting Endoplasmic Reticulum Stress. *Cell Physiol Biochem* 2015;36(2):474–486.
38. Panigrahy D, Greene ER, Pozzi A, et al. EET signaling in cancer. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 2011;30(3-4):525–540.
39. Zhang G, Panigrahy D, Hwang SH, et al. Dual inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 and soluble epoxide hydrolase synergistically suppresses primary tumor growth and metastasis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U A* 2014;111(30):11127–32.


Tables


Table 1. Demographics for all study subjects


Subject Demographics (mean \pm SD)	COPD Group			Overweight smoker Group			Phase 1 Clinical (Overweight smokers)			
	COPD (n=12)	Control (n=12)	Significance	Overweight Smokers (n=11)	Control (n=12)	Significance	6 mg (n=11)	18 mg (n=11)	Placebo (n=6)	Significance
Age (Years)	63 \pm 6	64 \pm 7	p=0.70	48 \pm 8	49 \pm 10	p=0.47	43 \pm 10	42 \pm 9	41 \pm 8	p=0.92
BMI (kg/m ²)	27 \pm 3	26 \pm 3	p=0.33	30 \pm 3	25 \pm 2	p<0.0001	31 \pm 2	31 \pm 2	31 \pm 3	p=0.72
Height (m)	1.75 \pm 0.3	1.77 \pm 0.1	p=0.33	1.82 \pm 0.1	1.80 \pm 0.1	p=0.73	1.83 \pm 0.06	1.78 \pm 0.04	1.76 \pm 0.09	p=0.11
Weight (kg)	84 \pm 12	82 \pm 11	p=0.77	103 \pm 13	80 \pm 7	p<0.0001	103 \pm 10	98 \pm 10	95 \pm 9	p=0.25
Supine SBP (mmHg)	130 \pm 17	133 \pm 7	p=0.52	130 \pm 14	124 \pm 14	p=0.26	128 \pm 16	141 \pm 13	130 \pm 13	p=0.09
Supine DBP (mmHg)	80 \pm 3	82 \pm 5	p=0.77	82 \pm 5	78 \pm 8	p=0.34	79 \pm 7	82 \pm 8	76 \pm 5	p=0.26
Pack Years	39 \pm 17	0	N/A	21 \pm 11	0	N/A	20 \pm 10	17 \pm 9	16 \pm 6	p=0.58


Table 1: Subject demographics for the physiological study and Phase 1 clinical trial. There were no significant differences in the demographics between overweight smokers and healthy matched controls in the physiological study, and no differences between placebo, 6 mg, and 18 mg active drug. For the physiological study, p value was calculated using a student's t-test for COPD vs matched controls, and for the Phase 1 clinical trial, p value was calculated using one-way ANOVA comparison between three treatment groups











Abbreviations

COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

CYP, cytochrome P450

DHET, dihydroxyepoxyeicosatrienoic acid

EDHF, endothelium-derived hyperpolarising factor

EET, epoxyeicosatrienoic acid

FBF, forearm blood flow

NF κ B, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells

NO, nitric oxide

PAI-1, plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1

sEH, soluble epoxide hydrolase

tPA, tissue plasminogen activator

e-Appendix 1. Materials and Methods

Plasma quantification of EETs/DHETs

Venous blood samples were collected from the same arm as the arterial infusion at baseline, and before and after each dose of bradykinin. Approximately 2 mL of blood was collected in lithium heparin tubes, immediately centrifuged at 4°C at 4600 rpm for 5 minutes (Hettich Zentrifugen Rotanta 460R, Germany), then rapidly frozen with liquid nitrogen or dry ice, and stored in -80°C. Thawed samples were prepared by methanol crash method,¹ and EETs and DHETs were measured by high performance liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry using an Aquity Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatogram (Waters Ltd., Manchester) coupled with an AB Sciex 5500 mass spectrometer (Ab Sciex, Warrington).²

Effect of GSK2256294 *in vitro*

For *in vitro* assessment of human microvascular function, tissue samples were obtained from otherwise discarded visceral fat (omentum and mesentery) of patients undergoing surgeries, including colectomy, renal transplantation, liver resection, and breast reconstruction. Comorbid conditions included hypertension, cancer, and inflammatory bowel disease, and diverticulitis, but samples were taken from unaffected areas wherever possible. Medication history was unavailable, but tissue was washed in buffer for approximately one hour prior to study, in order to minimise the effects of any concomitant drugs.

Human resistance arteries (100–200 μm) were isolated from the discarded surgical tissue, and cannulated with two glass micropipettes for videomicroscopic measurements of diameter.³ Vessels were pressurised to an intramural pressure of 60 mmHg under no-flow conditions and equilibrated at 37°C in Krebs-PSS gassed with 21% O_2 -5% CO_2 . Arteries were pre-constricted with endothelin-1 (ET-1; 0.3 nM) to 50%, and the bradykinin response was examined before and after 30min of L-nitroarginine methyl ester (L-NAME; 100 μM) and indomethacin (10 μM). Dose response curves to bradykinin (BK, 10^{-10} to 10^{-4} M), endothelin-1 (ET-1, 30 to 900 pM), 8,9-EET (10^{-10} to 10^{-6} M) and 11,12-EET (10^{-10} to 10^{-6} M) were generated in the presence or absence of GSK2256294. Responses to papaverine (10^{-4} M) were determined at the end. Vascular responses were expressed as percent maximal relaxation to ET-1 precontraction; 100% represents the passive baseline diameter.

Statistical Analysis

FBF data were analysed using LabChart 8 (ADInstruments, Oxford, United Kingdom), and statistical analysis was performed in R version 3.2.2 and GraphPad Prism (Version 6, GraphPad Software, California, USA). To test the hypothesis that EETs modulate basal tone, baseline FBF change in response to inhibitors were analysed as change in the infused arm only to eliminate possible systemic effects, and compared using paired Student's *t*-test or one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Agonist responses were analysed

as forearm blood flow ratio (infused/non-infused arm), adjusted for baseline, and log-transformed. To assess EETs mediated agonist induced response in COPD and healthy subjects, log-transformed ratio increase were compared using repeated measures ANOVA with a nested three-way interaction term for multiple comparisons between the disease groups (COPD vs. healthy), and within subject comparisons between three bradykinin doses (100, 300 and 1000 pmol/min), and in the presence of fluconazole. Detailed *post-hoc* comparisons were made with Tukey adjustment.

Plasma concentrations of each regio-isomer of the eicosanoids were corrected for baseline (concentration/baseline), and expressed as EET/DHET ratio as a surrogate of sEH activity, and presented as sum of EET/DHETs ratio.⁴ Sum of corrected ratios were log transformed, and analysed by repeated measures ANOVA with a nested three-way interaction term for multiple comparisons between groups (COPD vs. healthy), and within subject comparisons in the response to bradykinin, and bradykinin plus fluconazole.

To assess the effects of GSK2256294, *in vitro* studies of arteriolar reactivity was analysed with a one-way repeated measures ANOVA for dose and condition, with a Tukey *post-hoc* correction. When vessels from the same patient were used for identical protocols, values were averaged for statistical analysis ("n's" represent the number of subjects, not vessels). ED50's were compared by *t*-test in the presence or absence of inhibitor. *In vivo*, changes in FBF in the infused arm in response to bradykinin in acute and chronic dosing was compared to pre-dosing. All three treatment arms (placebo, 6 mg, and 18 mg) were fitted in the same model with three agonist doses (change from baseline flow in response to 300, 600 and 1000 pmol/min bradykinin) for each visit (Pre-dose, Day 1, and Day 14) via a three-way interaction term. A *post-hoc* analysis of the FBF ratio (infused/non-infused) was performed to adjust for any changes in the non-infused arm. Log-transformed baseline adjusted ratios were compared using repeated measures ANOVA with a nested three-way interaction term for multiple comparisons between the three treatment arms (placebo, 6 mg and 18 mg active drug), and within subject comparisons between bradykinin doses and treatment days, with Tukey adjustment. A similar analysis was performed for SNP responses. Results are expressed as mean \pm SEM unless otherwise indicated.


e-Table 1. Phase 1 clinical trial: percentage inhibition of basal flow in the infused arm by LNMMA plus aspirin in the placebo, 6mg and 18mg groups.

	Percentage inhibition of baseline flow in the infused arm by LNMMA and aspirin (Mean \pm SEM)					
	Placebo	Inhibitor effect	6mg	Inhibitor effect	18mg	Inhibitor effect
Pre-dosing	- 35.46 \pm 5.65	p=0.01	- 31.21 \pm 5.35	p=0.003	- 30.53 \pm 6.23	p=0.004
Day 1 post-dosing	- 22.22 \pm 4.56	p=0.24	- 19.14 \pm 6.72	p=0.07	- 24.72 \pm 4.79	p=0.0008
Day 14 post-dosing	- 17.67 \pm 9.88	p=0.59	- 21.40 \pm 9.33	p=0.03	- 28.18 \pm 4.91	p=0.02
Difference between pre-dosing and Day 1	p=0.98		p=1.00		p=0.67	
Difference between pre-dosing and Day 14	p=0.99		p=0.99		p=0.44	

e-Table 1. Percentage change in baseline forearm blood flow in the infused arm following LNMMA plus aspirin at pre-dose, Day 1, and Day 14 post-dose. Overall, LNMMA and aspirin had a significant inhibitory effect ($p < 0.0001$), but this effect is variable, although no different between treatment days. There were no differences in inhibition between placebo, 6 mg or 18 mg ($p = 0.33$). Abbreviations: LNMMA; N^G-monomethyl-L-arginine, SEM; standard error of the mean.

References:

1. Puri P, Wiest MM, Cheung O, et al. The plasma lipidomic signature of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. *Hepatology* 2009;50(6):1827–1838.
2. Hall Z, Ament Z, Wilson CH, et al. Myc expression drives aberrant lipid metabolism in lung cancer. *Cancer Res* 2016;canres.3403.2015.
3. Miura H, Wachtel RE, Liu Y, et al. Flow-induced dilation of human coronary arterioles : important role of Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels. *Circulation* 2001;103(15):1992–1998.
4. Schuck RN, Theken KN, Edin ML, et al. Cytochrome P450-derived eicosanoids and vascular dysfunction in coronary artery disease patients. *Atherosclerosis* 2013;227(2):442–8.

e-Figure 1.**e-Figure 2.**